

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,774	10/12/2005	Bernhard Gleich	DE 030117	2136
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 08/04/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			GUPTA, VANI	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/552,774	GLEICH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VANI GUPTA	3768	

	VANI GUPTA	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad     no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date where been filled is the date for purposes of ottermining the period of a volume of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-eviet by the Office are may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant vinonamone (i	102 024).				
5. ☐ Application by the advertise to the billowing rejection (5). ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		II be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768	/Vani Gupta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3768						

Continuation of 11: Request for reconsideration does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. It is not clear from claim language that varying fields are occurring or being generated together (at one time) are not contain relatively lower magnetic fields, such that one sub-area must contain a featively higher magnetic field strength and another sub-area must contain relatively lower magnetic field strength. There is no indication of when these two field strengths should exist relatively to each other. Hence, Krause meets the present claim features. Krause generates (fig. 1; col. 13, II. 25 - 29) higher magnetic fields ("peak current waveform," line 27) using one pair of coils situated in a different area. Furthermore, Krause suggests time-varying spatial distributed magnetic fields" applied to the volume of interest A is known in the art, and as Krause suggests (col. 13, II. 55 - 67), magnetic fields varyichange with time, and as these fields change, electric fields are generated (hence, "electromagnetic fields" mentioned by Krause). Also known in the art, naturally occurring phenomena are imperfect and inhomogenous. Therefore, within the volume of interest, all the manetic fields on NOT going to change instanteneously and/or uniformly. At any point in time, there will be some fields that change sooner or later than other fields within the same volume of interest. Therefore, at any point in time, there will be one sub-area with relatively lower magnetic fields and another sub-area with relatively lower magnetic fields. If Applicant believes novelty is the actual magnetic field strengths, then Applicant must specifically claim numerical field strengths.